

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ..get their amendments together and slap them on up there?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. While the Legislature's in session and capable of transacting business I propose to sign and do hereby sign Legislative Resolutions 291, 290, 289, 283, and 282. Senator Robinson, your light is next.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Madam President, members of the body, I have a question for Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Yes, Senator, go ahead.

SENATOR ROBINSON: If...if your amendment would pass, would it be correct to say that some...there would be amendments that would not be heard on a specific bill if there were several? Say I put in ten amendments on a bill and I know that time-wise we could get to cloture and so forth. Would that be a correct statement to say that there would be some amendments that probably would never be heard?

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: That...I would say that would be correct.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Okay.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Because...

SENATOR ROBINSON: Okay.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: ..of the process, yes.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Okay. Next question. I think I know that...we can always over.... Am I correct, we can always overrule the Speaker's order of amendments? Is that...can we overrule the.... If...say this does not pass and it..as the way it is written in the rule change, can we overrule the Speaker's order of amendments?

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: I'm not sure about that, Senator Robinson. I'd have to really sit back and look at the rules closer. My sense is that the Legislature can override the Speaker's agenda. I'm not sure it says in the rules...in fact,